Application:	Requests related to the replacement of an existing septic system. Approvals required include Variances to construct a septic system approx. 18 ft from Sugar Lake (min. 50 ft required) and approx. 8-9 feet from a road right-of-way (min. 10 ft required). Septic system to be in similar location to existing septic system.
Applicant and Property Owner:	Bruce Anderson
Agenda Item:	4(b)

Background Information:

- **Proposal:** The applicants are proposing to replace an existing failing sewer system with a new one in approximately the same location. That location is very close to Sugar Lake (18 ft) compared to what is the normal minimum requirement (50 ft). It would also be 8-9 feet from the road right of way instead of the required 10 feet. The property is on a short dead-end road that is not maintained by the Township.
- Location:
 - o Property address: 11979 Hart Ave NW, Annandale
 - o <u>Sec/Twp/Range:</u> 2-121-27
 - <u>Parcel number(s):</u> 206000021107
- **Zoning:** R1 Urban/Rural Transition/S2 Residential-Recreational Shorelands, Sugar Lake (General Development lake)
- Lot size: Approx. 4,791 sq ft (0.11 acres) according to County GIS estimate (only for that portion east of the road and west of the ordinary high water level of the lake.
- **Septic System Status:** The proposal relates to installing a new septic system to replace a failing sewer system.
- Natural Features:
 - <u>Floodplain:</u> The existing and proposed structures are not within an identified floodplain.
 - <u>Bluff/Steep Slopes:</u> The lot does not contain a bluff. The lot does not contain steep slopes that would impact the proposed improvement(s) to the property.
 - <u>Wetlands:</u> There are wetlands that could be impacted by the proposed improvement(s) to the property.
 - <u>Current Shoreline Conditions:</u> The DNR has recently verified that the open water/wetland area near the proposed sewer system is considered part of Sugar Lake.

Board of Adjustment Action: The Board of Adjustment may approve the variance request, deny the request(s), or table the request(s) if the Board should need additional information from the applicant. If the Board should approve or deny the request, the Board should state the findings which support either of these actions.

Staff Recommendation: Based on the findings of fact and discussion listed below, Staff recommends approval of the proposed Variance.

If the application or some version of the application is approved, Staff would recommend consideration for the following conditions of approval (or tabling of the application to allow for review of revised plans consistent with the following):

1. Erosion and sedimentation control measures must be installed and maintained until the construction areas have been stabilized. These shall include at a minimum silt fences between any areas of disturbance (if there will be any) and the lake as well as to any neighboring properties which are downslope of the disturbed areas. Once disturbed areas are no longer being used for construction purposes, these shall be covered with mulch, erosion control blankets, hydroseed or other forms of temporary cover until vegetation is re-established.

Applicable Statutes/Ordinances: See Appendix A.

Findings of Fact: The following findings of fact are presented by Staff for consideration by the Board of Adjustment:

1) Variances shall only be permitted when they are in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the official control.

The spirit and intent of the relevant ordinances are as follows:

The spirit and intent of the ordinance's setback requirements between a building or structure and a lake or river, according to the DNRs SONAR statement in 1989, is: "In general, structure setbacks are needed to provide an adequate distance between the development of a shoreland area and the adjacent waterbody or near blufftops to control the resource damaging effects of non-point source pollution. Soil erosion and subsequent sedimentation in water bodies and the loading of nutrients, toxics and other pollutants to the water body from shoreland area surface water runoff are examples of non-point source pollution."

The spirit and intent of the ordinance's setback requirements between a septic system and a road is to ensure the protection of the septic system from road maintenance activities and vehicles which may leave the driving lane.

Findings Supporting Approval

The proposed improvements would be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the requirements because it would allow for the upgrading of a failing sewer system and the proposed location is the only possible location on the parcel for a drainfield.

Findings Supporting Denial

The proposal is not in harmony with the intent of the ordinance because the setback to the lake would be less than half of what it should be.

2) Variances shall only be permitted when they are consistent with the comprehensive plan.

Findings Supporting Approval

Conforming septic systems are consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan to protect water quality and ensure consistent enforcement of regulations.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

3) The property owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner not permitted by an official control.

Findings Supporting Approval

The proposed use of the property is reasonable because it would replace a failing sewer system with a new system in essentially the same location. The house the septic system serves already exists and the general preference is for drainfields rather than holding tanks.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

4) The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property not created by the landowner.

Findings Supporting Approval

The plight of the landowner is due to factors that they did not create because the need for the variance(s) is due largely to the shape and small size of the lot and the location of the ordinary high water level of Sugar Lake.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

5) The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.

Findings Supporting Approval

The essential character of the area would not be altered because both the current area and the proposed use are residential in character.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

6) Economic considerations alone do not constitute practical difficulties.

Findings Supporting Approval

Economic considerations are not the only reason the applicant cannot meet the requirements of the ordinance because there are non-economic factors involved, as mentioned above.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

7) No variance may be granted that would allow any use that is not allowed in the zoning district in which the subject property is located.

Findings Supporting Approval

A septic system/drainfield is identified as a permitted use in the zoning district where the applicant's property is located.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

8) The practical difficulty cannot be alleviated by a method other than a variance.

Findings Supporting Approval

Avoidance of the need for a variance is not possible because of the shape and size of the lot, the location of the ordinary high water level and the location of the road.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

9) The granting of the variance will not adversely affect the environmental quality of the area.

Findings Supporting Approval

The proposal will improve environmental quality in the area by replacing a failing sewer system with a conforming system.

Findings Supporting Denial

None

Appendix A Applicable Statutes and Ordinances

Minnesota Statutes

462.357 (2016) OFFICIAL CONTROLS: ZONING ORDINANCE.

Subd. 6. Appeals and adjustments.

Appeals to the board of appeals and adjustments may be taken by any affected person upon compliance with any reasonable conditions imposed by the zoning ordinance. The board of appeals and adjustments has the following powers with respect to the zoning ordinance:

(1) To hear and decide appeals where it is alleged that there is an error in any order, requirement, decision, or determination made by an administrative officer in the enforcement of the zoning ordinance.

(2) To hear requests for variances from the requirements of the zoning ordinance including restrictions placed on nonconformities. Variances shall only be permitted when they are in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the ordinance and when the variances are consistent with the comprehensive plan. Variances may be granted when the applicant for the variance establishes that there are practical difficulties in complying with the zoning ordinance. "Practical difficulties," as used in connection with the granting of a variance, means that the property owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner not permitted by the zoning ordinance; the plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property not created by the landowner; and the variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality. Economic considerations alone do not constitute practical difficulties. Practical difficulties include, but are not limited to, inadequate access to direct sunlight for solar energy systems. Variances shall be granted for earth sheltered construction as defined in section 216C.06, subdivision 14, when in harmony with the ordinance. The board of appeals and adjustments or the governing body as the case may be, may not permit as a variance any use that is not allowed under the zoning ordinance for property in the zone where the affected person's land is located. The board or governing body as the case may be, may permit as a variance the temporary use of a one family dwelling as a two family dwelling. The board or governing body as the case may be may impose conditions in the granting of variances. A condition must be directly related to and must bear a rough proportionality to the impact created by the variance.

394.36 (2016) NONCONFORMITIES

Subd. 5.Existing nonconforming lots in shoreland areas. (a) This subdivision applies to shoreland lots of record in the office of the county recorder on the date of adoption of local shoreland controls that do not meet the requirements for lot size or lot width. A county shall regulate the use of nonconforming lots of record and the repair, replacement, maintenance, improvement, or expansion of nonconforming uses and structures in shoreland areas according to this subdivision.

(b) A nonconforming single lot of record located within a shoreland area may be allowed as a building site without variances from lot size requirements, provided that:

(1) all structure and septic system setback distance requirements can be met;

(2) a Type 1 sewage treatment system consistent with Minnesota Rules, chapter 7080, can be installed or the lot is connected to a public sewer; and

(3) the impervious surface coverage does not exceed 25 percent of the lot.

(c) In a group of two or more contiguous lots of record under a common ownership, an individual lot must be considered as a separate parcel of land for the purpose of sale or development, if it meets the following requirements:

(1) the lot must be at least 66 percent of the dimensional standard for lot width and lot size for the shoreland classification consistent with Minnesota Rules, chapter 6120;

(2) the lot must be connected to a public sewer, if available, or must be suitable for the installation of a Type 1 sewage treatment system consistent with Minnesota Rules, chapter 7080, and local government controls;

(3) impervious surface coverage must not exceed 25 percent of each lot; and

(4) development of the lot must be consistent with an adopted comprehensive plan.

(d) A lot subject to paragraph (c) not meeting the requirements of paragraph (c) must be combined with the one or more contiguous lots so they equal one or more conforming lots as much as possible.

(e) Notwithstanding paragraph (c), contiguous nonconforming lots of record in shoreland areas under a common ownership must be able to be sold or purchased individually if each lot contained a habitable residential dwelling at the time the lots came under common ownership and the lots are suitable for, or served by, a sewage treatment system consistent with the requirements of section 115.55 and Minnesota Rules, chapter 7080, or connected to a public sewer.

(f) In evaluating all variances, zoning and building permit applications, or conditional use requests, the zoning authority shall require the property owner to address, when appropriate, storm water runoff management, reducing impervious surfaces, increasing setback, restoration of wetlands, vegetative buffers, sewage treatment and water supply capabilities, and other conservation-designed actions.

(g) A portion of a conforming lot may be separated from an existing parcel as long as the remainder of the existing parcel meets the lot size and sewage system requirements of the zoning district for a new lot and the newly created parcel is combined with an adjacent parcel.

Corinna Township/Wright County Regulations

502. APPEALS AND BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

502.4 Findings

- (1) The Board of Adjustment must review variance petitions and consider the following factors prior to finding that a practical difficulty has been presented. The applicant must provide a statement of evidence addressing the following elements to the extent they are relevant to the applicant's situation.
 - (a) The granting of the variance will be in harmony with the County Land Use Plan.

- (b) The property owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner not permitted by an official control.
- (c) The plight of the owner is due to circumstances unique to the property not created by the owner.
- (d) The proposal does not alter the essential character of the locality.
- (e) The practical difficulty cannot be alleviated by a method other than a variance; and.
- (f) The granting of the variance will not adversely affect the environmental quality of the area.

The Board of Adjustment may grant a variance if it finds that all of the above factors have been established. The Board of Adjustment must not approve a variance request unless the applicant proves all of the above factors and established that there are practical difficulties in complying with official controls. The burden of proof of these matters rests completely on the applicant.

716. SEWAGE AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL STANDARDS

716.3 Site Evaluation and Design Requirements

Table 3	
Minimum Setback Distances (Feet)	

	Sewage or Holding Tank	Soil Treatment or Absorption Area	Building Sewer or Supply Pipes		
Water Supply Wells* (50 feet of continuous casing or encountering 10 feet of impervious material)	50	50	50**		
Water Supply Wells* (less than 50 feet of continuous casing)	50	100	50**		
Buried water suction pipe*	50	50	50**		
Buried pipe distributing water under pressure*	10	10	10		
Buildings***	10	20	-		
Property Lines****	10	10	-		
Subsurface drainage systems such as field tile lines	50	50	_		
Surface drainage systems such as open ditches		30			
The ordinary high water mark of the following types of lakes:	3 00	50			
Natural Environmental Lakes and	150	150	-		
Transitional River Segments (North Fork of the Recreational Development Lakes, Mississippi River, Agricultural Rivers and	Crow)				
Tributaries as defined in Section 612.4	75	75	-		
General Development Lakes	50	50	-		
All public water wetlands as defined by	50	50	-		
Minnesota Statutes, Section 103G.005, Subd. 15a or successor statute					

* Setbacks from buried water pipes and water supply well as governed by Minnesota Rules, Chapters 4715 and 4725, respectively.

** The setback can be reduced from 50 to 20 feet if the building sewer or supply pipe is air tested by holding 5 pounds of air pressure for 15 minutes.

*** For structures other than buildings these setbacks may be reduced if necessary due to site conditions, but in no case shall any part of the individual sewage treatment system be located under or within the structure. For this provision to be employed there shall not be interior space below the structure. For the new construction of a structure without interior space below the structure no part of the absorption area shall encroach closer than 10 feet.

**** The setback from the treatment area to the platted road may be reduced with written approval from the road authority. The Board of Adjustment shall review variance requests, including those from common property lines, per 502 Appeals and Board of Adjustment in the Wright County Zoning Ordinance.